WALTER R. CANNON, ESQ.
PETER M. ANGULO, ESQ.
OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY,
ANGULO & STOBERSKI
9950 W. Cheyenne Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89129
(702) 384-4012 - telephone
(702) 383-0701 - facsimile
Attorneys for Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

KISHA L. NORMAN,

Plaintiffs,

Vs.

COUNTY OF CLARK, a political subdivision, and municipality including its department of CLARK COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE SERVICES,

Defendants.

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY AND OTHER DEADLINES (Second Request)

Plaintiff by and through her counsel Terri Keyser-Cooper, Esq. and Defendant COUNTY OF CLARK, by and through its counsel Peter M. Angulo, Esq., and file their joint application to extend the discovery cut-off period and other deadlines in this case. The present discovery cut-off date is August 6, 2015, as set forth in the Order granting Stipulation for Extension of Time (First Request) entered by this Court on April 13, 2015 (#28).

DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE:

The parties have undertaken the following discovery to date:

On December 1, 2014, Plaintiff propounded her 26(f) Production

On December 4, 2014, Defendants propounded their 26(f) Production

On February 19, 2015, Defendants propounded their 26(f) Production (First Supplement)

On February 19, 2015, Plaintiff submitted Request for Production of Documents (Set

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

One) to Defendant County of Clark Juvenile Justice
On February 19, 2015, Plaintiff submitted Interrogatories to County of Clark Department
of Juvenile Justice Services (Set One)
On February 20, 2015, Plaintiff submitted Request for Production of Documents
(Amended) (Set One) to Defendant County of Clark Department of Juvenile Justice
On March 23, 2015, Defendant County of Clark Department of Juvenile Justice

propounded Responses to First Set of Request for Production of Documents (Amended First Set) On March 23, 2015, Defendant County of Clark Department of Juvenile Justice propounded Responses to Interrogatories

On March 30, 2015, Defendants propounded their 26(f) Production (Second Supplement) On April 3, 2015, Plaintiff propounded Request for Production of Documents (Set Two) to Defendant

On April 3, 2015, Plaintiff propounded Interrogatories to County of Clark Department of Juvenile Justice Services (Set Two)

On April 6, 2015, Plaintiff submitted a second set of Interrogatories and Request to Produce to County of Clark Department of Juvenile Justice Services

On April 16, 2015, Plaintiff propounded a Request to Permit Entry and Inspection On April 25, 2015, Plaintiff propounded her Second Supplemental Disclosures On May 15, 2015, Defendant propounded Request for Admissions to Plaintiff On May 15, 2015, Defendant propounded Interrogatories to Plaintiff

On May 15, 2015, Defendant propounded Request for Production of Documents to **Plaintiff**

On June 22, 2015, Plaintiff propounded her Third Supplemental Disclosures On June 23, 2015, Plaintiff propounded her Responses to Defendant's Interrogatories On June 23, 2015, Plaintiff propounded her Responses to Defendant's Demand for **Production of Documents**

On June 23, 2015, Plaintiff propounded her Response to Defendant's Request for Admissions

On July 1, 2015 the deposition of Plaintiff, Kisha Norman, was taken
On July 2, 2015, the deposition of Kelly Goetz was taken
On July 2, 2015, the deposition of Carolyn Banks was taken
On July 2, 2015, the deposition of Troy Brown was taken
On July 2, 2015, the deposition of Jacqueline Alvarado was taken

DISCOVERY REMAINING:

Attorneys for the parties anticipate that the following discovery will be required:

Counsel for Defendant will continue the deposition of Plaintiff once her discovery responses have been supplemented by Plaintiff. Defendant further will set the depositions of Jacqueline Gonzales, Jessica Delgado, Mark Marumato, and Don McCloud.

The parties anticipate noticing the depositions of all involved parties and witnesses identified in the 26.1 productions.

The parties are in the process of retaining expert and rebuttal witnesses.

The parties anticipate taking the depositions of expert witnesses, rebuttal witnesses, named witnesses and any other person connected to the subject incident

REASONS WHY SUCH REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED:

The parties have a discovery dispute regarding Plaintiff's responses to Interrogatories. Plaintiff contends the interrogatories as written are objectionable because they are overbroad, burdensome and will take a tremendous amount of time to respond and necessarily involve attorney work product. Defendant insists they are appropriate. The parties are working to resolve this dispute with ongoing discussions.

Presently discovery is set to close on August 6, 2015. Unless discovery is extended, there is no time for defendant to respond to vital necessary additional discovery. There is no possible way to complete all the discovery necessary without extending the August 6, 2015 date.

Plaintiff's counsel will be out of the country from November 28, 2015 to December 23, 2015.

The parties have been diligent in propounding discovery and evaluating the case. This request is made in good faith and not for the purpose of causing unnecessary delay. The parties

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are working together amicably, in a professional manner, to move the case along. With this extension to time the parties will be able to effectively present the case, define the issues, and streamline the anticipated trial.

Based upon the foregoing, the parties have stipulated to the following proposed schedule for the remaining discovery:

- 1. That the current discovery cutoff date of August 6, 2015, may be extended for a period of ninety (90) days to and including Wednesday, December 2, 2015.
- 3. Plaintiffs and Defendants shall disclose their experts to each other at least sixty (60) days before the discovery cut-off date, which is by Friday, October 2, 2015, and Plaintiffs and Defendants shall disclosure rebuttal experts at least thirty (30) days after the initial date for disclosure of experts, which is by Monday, November 2, 2015.
- 4. All pretrial motions, including but not limited to, discovery motions, motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment shall be filed and served no later than 30 days after the close of discovery, which is by Monday, January 4, 2016.
- 5. The Joint Pretrial Order in the above-entitled action shall be filed with this Court no later than thirty (30) days after the date set for filing dispositive motions, which shall be by Wednesday, February 3, 2016, unless dispositive motions are filed, in which case the date for filing the Joint Pretrial Order shall be suspended until thirty (30) days after the decision of the dispositive motions or further order of this Court.
- 6. The parties have entered into this Stipulation in an effort to complete discovery and pretrial motions. The parties are not delaying the conclusion of this matter by way of trial or otherwise; rather, the parties are trying to garner all the necessary information, through formal discovery or interviews to evaluate this case for settlement purposes. No trial date has yet been

26

27

28

1

ordered.

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

20

19

22

21

2324

25

2627

28

This Stipulation is entered into this 15th day of July, 2015.

LAW OFFICE OF TERRI KEYSER-COOPER OLSON, CANNON, GORMLEY, ANGULO & STOBERSKI

By /s/ Terri Keyser-Cooper

TERRI KEYSER-COOPER, ESQ. 3590 Barrymore Drive Reno, Nevada 89512 Attorneys for Plaintiff

By /s/ Peter M. Angulo

PETER M. ANGULO, ESQ. 9950 W. Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 Attorneys for Defendants

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The discovery cut-off is extended from August 6, 2015, and discovery in this action shall be completed on or before *Wednesday*, *December 2, 2015*.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

Plaintiffs and Defendants shall disclose their experts to each other at least sixty (60) days before the discovery cut-off date which is by *Friday, October 2, 2015*, and Plaintiffs and Defendants shall disclosure rebuttal experts at least thirty (30) days after the initial date for disclosure of experts, which is by *Monday, November 2, 2015*.

All pretrial motions, including but not limited to, discovery motions, motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment shall be filed and served no later than 30 days after the close of discovery, which is by *Monday*, *January 4*, *2016*.

The Joint Pretrial Order in the above-entitled action shall be filed with this Court no later than thirty (30) days after the date set for filing dispositive motions, which shall be by *Wednesday, February 3, 2016,* unless dispositive motions are filed, in which case the date for filing the Joint Pretrial Order shall be suspended until thirty (30) days after the decision of the dispositive motions or further order of this Court.

DATED this 22 day of July, 2015.

GEORGE FOLEY, J

United States Magistrate Judge